El Unico Post, Dorado February 06, 2023.


Centroid coordinates : $18.46772^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 66.23711^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$

## 3D map <br> El Unico Post, Dorado



## 2D map



Beach length (m)
El Unico Post, Dorado


Beach length $=254.913 \mathrm{~m}$

Density surface model
El Unico Post, Dorado


## Area of the beach <br> El Unico Post, Dorado



Area of the beach $=4,239.32 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

## Beach volume

El Unico Post, Dorado


Cut $=0.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Fill $=-163,431 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Volume Dif. $=-163,431 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

Beach elevation
El Unico Post, Dorado





Site elevation (m)
El Unico Post, Dorado





Distance from shore (m)

Dune height ( m )
El Unico Post, Dorado


Dune height
A $=42.334 \mathrm{~m}$
$B=21.721 \mathrm{~m}$
C $=32.408 \mathrm{~m}$

Dune width (m)
El Unico Post, Dorado


| Dune width |
| :---: |
| $A=55.942 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $B=28.941 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $C=40.988 \mathrm{~m}$ |

## Area and perimeter of dune

 El Unico Post, Dorado

A - Area and perimeter of dune
2D area $=3,833.18 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$B$ - Area and perimeter of dune
2D area $=841.629 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
3D area $=3,844.63 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
2D perimeter $=270.905 \mathrm{~m}$
3D perimeter $=271.445 \mathrm{~m}$
Elevation difference $=3.767 \mathrm{~m}$
3D area $=853.065 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
2D perimeter $=133.643 \mathrm{~m}$
3D perimeter $=134.398 \mathrm{~m}$
Elevation difference $=3.01 \mathrm{~m}$

C - Area and perimeter of dune
2D area $=2,691.91 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
3D area $=2,691.91 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
2D perimeter $=232.144 \mathrm{~m}$
3D perimeter $=232.144 \mathrm{~m}$
Elevation difference $=0.00 \mathrm{~m}$

## Volume of dune <br> El Unico Post, Dorado



|  | A |
| :--- | :---: |
| Base surface |  |
|  | Triangulated |
| Cut volume |  |
| Cut error | $13,626.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Fill volume | $111.221 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Fill error | $-443.164 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Volume difference | $13,183.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |


|  | B |
| :--- | ---: |
| Base surface |  |
|  | Triangulated |
| Cut volume |  |
| Cut error | $750.34 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Fill volume | $8.25419 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Fill error | $-199.087 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Volume difference | $5.15295 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  | $551.253 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |

## C

Base surface
Triangulated
Cut volume
3,052.95 m ${ }^{3}$
Cut error
Fill volume
Fill error
$5.18502 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$-84,510.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$80.4933 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Volume difference
$-81,457.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

Shoreline
El Unico Post, Dorado


Shoreline length $=256.913 \mathrm{~m}$

Shoreline geolocation
El Unico Post, Dorado


Shoreline markers
$\mathbf{A}=18.46811^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 66.23789^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
$B=18.46808^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 66.23737^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
C $=18.46796^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 66.23675^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
D $=18.46791^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 66.23620^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$

## Shoreline extension

El Unico Post, Dorado


Shoreline extension
$\mathbf{A}=11.851 \mathrm{~m}$
$B=12.543 \mathrm{~m}$

Shoreline position El Unico Post, Dorado


Shoreline position
$A=21.411 \mathrm{~m}$
$B=15.48 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathbf{C}=10.201 \mathrm{~m}$

## Area of dune breaches

## El Unico Post, Dorado



## A

Area of dune breaches
Breach $=3,833.18 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

## B

Area of dune breaches
Breach =841.629 m²

## C

Area of dune breaches
Breach $=2,691.91 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
(I) Important: Click on the different icons for:Help to analyze the results in the Quality ReportAdditional information about the sections

Click here for additional tips to analyze the Quality Report

## Summary

| Project | 201643-Project-2023-02-06T22:42:32.987Z |
| :--- | :--- |
| Processed | $2023-02-06$ 23:31:36 |
| Camera Model Name(s) | FC6310R_8.8_5472x3648 (RGB) |
| Average Ground Sampling Distance (GSD) | $1.06 \mathrm{~cm} / 0.42$ in |
| Area Covered | $0.018 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / 1.8003 \mathrm{ha} / 0.01 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mi} . / 4.4508$ acres |
| Time for Initial Processing (without report) | $33 \mathrm{~m}: 22 \mathrm{~s}$ |


| Quality Check |
| :--- |
| ? Images median of 46075 keypoints per image  <br> ? Dataset 280 out of 316 images calibrated (88\%), all images enabled, 5 blocks  <br> ? Camera Optimization $0.1 \%$ relative difference between initial and optimized internal camera parameters  <br> ? Matching median of 5471.28 matches per calibrated image (i) <br> ? Georeferencing yes, no 3D GCP  <br>    |

(?) Preview


Figure 1: Orthomosaic and the corresponding sparse Digital Surface Model (DSM) before densification.

## Calibration Details

| Number of Calibrated Images | 280 out of 316 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Geolocated Images | 316 out of 316 |



Figure 2: Top view of the initial image position. The green line follows the position of the images in time starting from the large blue dot.
(?) Computed Image/GCPs/Manual Tie Points Positions
(i)


Uncertainty ellipses 100x magnified

Figure 3: Offset between initial (blue dots) and computed (green dots) image positions as well as the offset between the GCPs initial positions (blue crosses) and their computed positions (green crosses) in the top-view (XY plane), front-view (XZ plane), and side-view (YZ plane). Red dots indicate disabled or uncalibrated images. Dark green ellipses indicate the absolute position uncertainty of the bundle block adjustment result.
(?) Absolute camera position and orientation uncertainties

|  | $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{m}]$ | Omega [degree] | Phi [degree] | Kappa [degree] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 0.022 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 0.036 | 0.054 | 0.058 |
| Sigma | 0.105 | 0.021 | 0.030 | 0.080 | 0.178 | 0.234 |



## Bundle Block Adjustment Details

| Number of 2D Keypoint Observations for Bundle Block Adjustment | 1664945 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of 3D Points for Bundle Block Adjustment | 661491 |
| Mean Reprojection Error [pixels] | 0.191 |

## (?) Internal Camera Parameters

FC6310R_8.8_5472x3648 (RGB). Sensor Dimensions: 12.833 [mm] x 8.556 [mm]
EXIF ID: FC6310R_8.8_5472x3648

|  | Focal Length | Principal <br> Point x | Principal Point y | R1 | R2 | R3 | T1 | T2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial Values | $\begin{aligned} & 3658.300 \text { [pixel] } \\ & 8.580[\mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | 2722.500 [pixel] <br> 6.385 [mm] | 1835.100 [pixel] <br> 4.304 [mm] | -0.269 | 0.112 | -0.033 | 0.000 | -0.001 |
| Optimized Values | $\begin{aligned} & 3662.300[\text { pixel }] \\ & 8.589[\mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2734.608 \text { [pixel] } \\ & 6.413[\mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | 1809.846 [pixel] <br> 4.245 [mm] | 0.000 | -0.015 | 0.015 | -0.001 | -0.001 |
| Uncertainties (Sigma) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.785 \text { [pixel] } \\ & 0.002 \text { [mm] } \end{aligned}$ | 0.511 [pixel] <br> 0.001 [mm] | 0.904 [pixel] <br> 0.002 [mm] | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 |



The correlation between camera internal parameters determined by the bundle adjustment. White indicates a full correlation between the parameters, ie. any change in one can be fully compensated by the other. Black indicates that the parameter is completely independent, and is not affected by other parameters.


The number of Automatic Tie Points (ATPs) per pixel, averaged over all images of the camera model, is color coded between black and white. White indicates that, on average, more than 16 ATPs have been extracted at the pixel location. Black indicates that, on average, 0 ATPs have been extracted at the pixel location. Click on the image to the see the average direction and magnitude of the re-projection error for each pixel. Note that the vectors are scaled for better visualization. The scale bar indicates the magnitude of 1 pixel error.

## (?) 2D Keypoints Table

|  | Number of 2D Keypoints per Image | Number of Matched 2D Keypoints per Image |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median | 46075 | 5471 |
| Min | 20904 | 30 |


| Max | 79500 | 20483 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 47682 | 5946 |

## ? 3D Points from 2D Keypoint Matches

|  | Number of 3D Points Observed |
| :--- | :--- |
| In 2 Images | 483394 |
| In 3 Images | 100664 |
| In 4 Images | 38933 |
| In 5 Images | 18073 |
| In 6 Images | 9266 |
| In 7 Images | 4862 |
| In 8 Images | 2642 |
| In 9 Images | 1353 |
| In 10 Images | 774 |
| In 11 Images | 563 |
| In 12 Images | 360 |
| In 13 Images | 234 |
| In 14 Images | 131 |
| In 15 Images | 88 |
| In 16 Images | 61 |
| In 17 Images | 36 |
| In 18 Images | 22 |
| In 19 Images | 22 |
| In 20 Images | 5 |
| In 21 Images | 6 |
| In 22 Images | 1 |
| In 26 Images | 1 |
|  |  |

(?) 2D Keypoint Matches

(?) Relative camera position and orientation uncertainties
(i)

|  | $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{m}]$ | Omega [degree] | Phi [degree] | Kappa [degree] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 0.134 | 0.125 | 0.129 | 0.219 | 0.191 | 0.159 |
| Sigma | 0.151 | 0.122 | 0.127 | 0.179 | 0.200 | 0.182 |

## Geolocation Details

## (?) Absolute Geolocation Variance

| Min Error [m] | Max Error [m] | Geolocation Error X [\%] | Geolocation Error Y [\%] | Geolocation Error Z [\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | -0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| -0.80 | -0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| -0.64 | -0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| -0.48 | -0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| -0.32 | -0.16 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| -0.16 | 0.00 | 49.19 | 46.49 | 48.65 |
| 0.00 | 0.16 | 50.27 | 53.51 | 51.35 |
| 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.64 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.80 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mean [m] |  | -0.001720 | -0.000239 | 0.002480 |
| Sigma [m] |  | 0.025357 | 0.014615 | 0.032214 |
| RMS Error [m] |  | 0.025415 |  | 0.032309 |

Min Error and Max Error represent geolocation error intervals between -1.5 and $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ times the maximum accuracy of all the images. Columns $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$ show the percentage of images with geolocation errors within the predefined error intervals. The geolocation error is the difference between the initial and computed image positions. Note that the image geolocation errors do not correspond to the accuracy of the observed 3D points.
(?) Relative Geolocation Variance
(i)

| Relative Geolocation Error | Images $X[\%]$ | Images $Y$ [\%] | Images Z [\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[-1.00,1.00]$ | 78.38 | 82.70 | 78.38 |
| $[-2.00,2.00]$ | 95.14 | 95.68 | 96.22 |
| $[-3.00,3.00]$ | 97.30 | 98.92 | 100.00 |
| Mean of Geolocation Accuracy $[\mathbf{m}]$ | 0.019215 | 0.019215 | 0.036447 |
| Sigma of Geolocation Accuracy $[\mathbf{m}]$ | 0.028840 | 0.028840 | 0.053788 |

Images $X, Y, Z$ represent the percentage of images with a relative geolocation error in $X, Y, Z$.

| Geolocation Orientational Variance | RMS [degree] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Omega | 19.892 |
| Phi | 1.721 |
| Kappa | 28.899 |

## Initial Processing Details



## Point Cloud Densification details (c)

Processing Options (i)

| Image Scale | multiscale, $1 / 2$ (Half image size, Default) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Point Density | Optimal |
| Minimum Number of Matches | 3 |
| 3D Textured Mesh Generation | yes |
| 3D Textured Mesh Settings: | Resolution: Medium Resolution (default) <br> Color Balancing: no |
| LOD | Generated: no |
| Advanced: 3D Textured Mesh Settings | Sample Density Divider: 1 |
| Advanced: Image Groups | group1 |
| Advanced: Use Processing Area | yes |
| Advanced: Use Annotations | yes |
| Time for Point Cloud Densification | $12 \mathrm{~m}: 11 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Time for Point Cloud Classification | NA |
| Time for 3D Textured Mesh Generation | $06 \mathrm{~m}: 37 \mathrm{~s}$ |

## Results

(i)

| Number of Generated Tiles | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of 3D Densified Points | 19920742 |
| Average Density $\left(\right.$ per $\left.\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 2388.57 |


| DSM and Orthomosaic Resolution | $1 \times$ GSD (1.06 [cm/pixel]) |
| :--- | :--- |
| DSM Filters | Noise Filtering: yes <br> Surface Smoothing: yes, Type: Sharp |
| Raster DSM | Generated: yes <br> Method: Inverse Distance Weighting <br> Merge Tiles: yes |
| Orthomosaic <br> Generated: yes <br> Merge Tiles: yes <br> GeoTIFF Without Transparency: no <br> Google Maps Tiles and KML: no |  |
|  | $03 \mathrm{~m}: 53 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Time for Orthomosaic Generation | $11 \mathrm{~m}: 50 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Time for DTM Generation | 00 s |
| Time for Contour Lines Generation | 00 s |
| Time for Reflectance Map Generation | 00 s |
| Time for Index Map Generation | 00 s |

El Unico Post, Dorado


